

CONFIDENTIAL

99711-4

NPIC/P&DS/D/6-800
2 March 1966

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Comparator Error Analysis Program

REFERENCE: IPD Memo to TID dated 17 January 1966

1. In the referenced memo, the following statement was made: "The entire difficulty on this project could have been completely avoided if the project monitor fulfilled his role and maintained full awareness of the program and needs of the user. In this case the project monitor, [redacted] of P&DS, was completely out of the picture. Also, it was found that the go-ahead for the programming effort by [redacted] did not originate from anyone in the Agency. This points up a very sensitive and potentially dangerous problem. The programming effort on [redacted] part did not come to light until several weeks after it has occurred. Even at this time no attempt by the user was made to quickly adjust to this unilateral decision. Because of the vagueness and indecisiveness, many critical man-hours were wasted on both sides".

25X

25X

25X

2. This statement is of considerable concern to P&DS since it does not clearly represent the facts of the situation.

3. The facts are as follows:

A. We are not directly involved in the [redacted] programming referred to above. We do have a Contract [redacted] with the [redacted] not [redacted] has been the contract monitor since its inception. He also has been the project monitor of the combined [redacted] on "P.I. Performance and Techniques" since August of 1965 when he relieved [redacted] of that assignment. [redacted] only connection with this program has been that, as our "back-up" man on [redacted] he has on occasion sat in on meetings with (or in the absence of) [redacted]

25X

25X

25X

25X

25X

25X

25X

25X

25X

25X

25X

25X

25X

B. Our contract with [redacted] deals mainly with the acquisition of ground truth for the [redacted] Program and covers primarily the services of [redacted] It does not cover the services of a programmer or mathematician; e.g.,

25X

25X

25X

DDR-Dupe

CONFIDENTIALGROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

CONFIDENTIAL

[redacted] and/or his staff. We could neither authorize nor pay for such services. These services were authorized by TID and will be paid for under their Contract [redacted] for "Data Reduction Services". It is unreasonable to assume that "the go-ahead did not originate from anyone in the Agency". The contractor could not be paid for his services under such an arrangement.

C. We were aware of the [redacted] programming effort; however, no P&DS personnel requested Autometrics to do programming. In fact, [redacted] acting for [redacted] (in his absence) initiated a standard NPIC FM 218 on 27 October 1965 requesting these services of IPD in response to a request from [redacted]. [redacted] If TID chooses to pursue a parallel effort, that is their prerogative and not the responsibility of our monitors.

[redacted]
Chief, Interpretation Systems Section
Development Branch

Distribution:

Orig - Project File
1 - DB Chrono

NPIC/P&DS/DB: [redacted] (2 Mar 66)

CONFIDENTIAL